

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,819	09/05/2003	Ming T. Chen	9412 EXAMINER	
24987	7590 · 05/20/2004			
MARCUS G THEODORE, PC 466 SOUTH 500 EAST			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
	CITY, UT 84102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3711	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/656,819	CHEN, MING T.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen L. Blau	3711			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	`				
Period fo			·			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. () (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Se</u>	eptember 2003.				
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)□	·					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 					
Applicati	ion Papers		,			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/5/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,819 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1 line 11 the word "grains" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference number 10 (Page 10 lines 3,4 and 6) is not in the drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. New corrected drawings are required in this application because informal drawings are supplied. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 line 17 is indefinite in that the statement "behind the most probable

hitting surface" is indefinite. What is probable for one golfer may not be what is probable to

another. The examiner recommends removing the words "most probable". Claim 1 lines 27-28

are indefinite in that the statement "with most mass placed along the lower portion of the club

face most probable to impact a golf ball during repetitive strokes" is indefinite. What is probable

for one golfer may not be what is probable to another. The examiner recommends removing the

entire statement. Claim 1 line 44 is indefinite in that the statement "the most probable contact

segments of'. This statement is indefinite for the same reason. The examiner recommends

removing the entire statement. Claims 3-4 are indefinite in that the these conditions will be

different for each golfer and most likely change over time for each golfer due to many different

variables. The examiner recommends canceling these claims. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected for

depending on rejected base claims.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/656,819

Art Unit: 3711

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-2 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. None of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious a first reinforcing sole weight attached behind a back surface of a the club along the bottom sole with the height rising from a low point proximate a heel increasing along a sole to a peak reinforcing the middle of a lower back surface and thereafter declining to a low point proximate a toe, the depth of its sole mass increasing in thickness from a least thickness from a least thickness proximate the heel and increasing along the bottom of the sole in depth to reinforce the middle and thereafter decreasing in thickness to a least thickness proximate a toe along a sole, and a third center weight attached to a back surface behind the hitting surface above a sole weight in addition to the other elements of structure claimed. Golden discloses a first reinforcing sole weight behind a back surface of a the club along the bottom sole with a first reinforcing sole weight along the bottom sole with the height rising from a low point proximate a heel increasing along a sole to a peak reinforcing the middle of a lower back surface and thereafter declining to a low point proximate a toe, the depth of its sole mass increasing in thickness from a least thickness from a least thickness proximate the heel and increasing along the bottom of the sole in depth to reinforce the middle and thereafter decreasing in thickness to a least thickness proximate a toe along a sole (Fig. 5). Golden lacks a first reinforcing sole weight attached behind a back surface and a third center weight above a sole weight. Nishitani, Madore, Gorman, and Penna disclose a first reinforcing sole weight attached behind a hitting surface. Best (Des. 401,637) discloses a first reinforcing sole weight

Art Unit: 3711

attached behind a hitting surface and a third center weight above the sole weight (Fig. 7). Best lacks a first reinforcing sole weight attached behind a back surface of a club along the bottom sole with the depth of its sole mass increasing in thickness from a least thickness from a least thickness proximate the heel and increasing along the bottom of the sole in depth to reinforce the middle and thereafter decreasing in thickness to a least thickness proximate a toe along a sole. Long discloses a first reinforcing weight attached behind a back surface of a the club with the depth of the mass increasing in thickness from a least thickness from a least thickness proximate the heel and increasing to reinforce the middle and thereafter decreasing in thickness to a least thickness proximate a toe (Fig. 20). Long lacks the thickness changing a long the sole and a third center weight attached to a back surface behind the hitting surface above a sole weight.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1513. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 15 May 2004